

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Gempton
<b>Given Name</b>	Carey
<b>Person ID</b>	1285891
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Gempton
<b>Given Name</b>	Carey
<b>Person ID</b>	1285891
<b>Title</b>	JPA 26: Land at Hazelhurst Farm
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	We consider this to be a very poor option. Firstly it is on a greenbelt, which should be protected at all costs. Salford is one of the worst places for air pollution and this will be adding another 500+ cars to this area. Also the roads surrounding this area would not be able to cope with the additional cars/traffic. We use this green space regularly to walk our dog - living in Worsley is already expensive and paying high council tax for this - taking away our walks and green space is very wrong indeed. GPs and Schools in this area are already very over subscribed.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Use brown site areas as an alternative.